

Hostile Sexism, Racial Resentment, and Political Mobilization

Kevin K. Banda and Erin C. Cassese

Supplemental Appendix

In this appendix, we present the summary statistics of our data in Table A1 and the raw estimates from each of our statistical models in Tables A2 and A3.

	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Cast a validated vote	0.666	0.472	0.000	1.000
Political participation scale	1.429	1.228	0.000	8.000
Attended a rally	0.072	0.258	0.000	1.000
Displayed a sign	0.122	0.327	0.000	1.000
Worked for a candidate	0.030	0.171	0.000	1.000
Donated to a candidate	0.128	0.334	0.000	1.000
Donated to a party	0.079	0.269	0.000	1.000
Donated to a group	0.045	0.207	0.000	1.000
Contacted a representative	0.123	0.328	0.000	1.000
Talked about politics	0.832	0.374	0.000	1.000
Hostile sexism	0.412	0.222	0.000	1.000
Racial resentment	0.580	0.283	0.000	1.000
Respondent is an independent	0.119	0.324	0.000	1.000
Respondent is a Republican	0.485	0.500	0.000	1.000
Level of conservatism	4.234	1.627	1.000	7.000
Respondent is a woman	0.531	0.499	0.000	1.000
Interest in politics	2.446	0.679	1.000	3.000
Level of education	3.910	1.514	1.000	6.000
Level of income	16.312	7.835	1.000	28.000
Church attendance	1.531	1.705	0.000	5.000
Age in years	51.167	17.654	18.000	90.000
Economic perceptions	2.826	1.037	1.000	5.000
Level of competition	1.932	1.038	1.000	4.000

Note: summary statistics are unweighted.

Table A2: The conditional effects of hostile sexism and racial resentment on attitudes and behavior

	Validated turnout	Participation scale
Hostile sexism	-0.950* (0.517)	-0.292 (0.304)
Sexism × independent	-0.114 (0.998)	-0.201 (0.519)
Sexism × Republican	1.076 (0.672)	0.447 (0.366)
Racial resentment	-0.882** (0.420)	-1.311*** (0.276)
Resentment × independent	1.086 (0.824)	1.161*** (0.416)
Resentment × Republican	1.089* (0.604)	1.737*** (0.315)
Respondent is an independent	-1.186* (0.642)	-0.715*** (0.260)
Respondent is a Republican	-1.148** (0.463)	-1.216*** (0.265)
Level of conservatism	0.095 (0.065)	-0.005 (0.038)
Respondent is a woman	0.220* (0.128)	-0.124* (0.066)
Interest in politics	0.377*** (0.104)	0.367*** (0.062)
Level of education	0.119** (0.047)	0.069*** (0.026)
Level of income	0.051*** (0.009)	0.004 (0.005)
Church attendance	0.136*** (0.040)	0.012 (0.018)
Age in years	0.029*** (0.004)	0.005*** (0.002)
Economic perceptions	0.145** (0.071)	0.070* (0.042)
Level of competition	0.062 (0.061)	0.002 (0.030)
Intercept	-3.167*** (0.512)	0.645* (0.329)
Observations	2,023	2,019
BIC	2,145.558	6,372.661

Note: Cell entries are estimated coefficients. The first two columns of results come from logistic regression models. The remaining three models were estimated using ordinary least squares regression. Standard errors are shown in parentheses. All models were estimated using probability weights.

** $p \leq 0.05$, * $p \leq 0.1$

Table A3: How partisanship conditions the effects of hostile sexism and racial resentment on various types of participation

	Rally	Sign	Work for campaign	Donate to candidates	Donate to parties	Donate to groups	Contacted representative	Talk politics
Hostile sexism	-0.174 (0.720)	-0.219 (0.611)	1.801 (1.143)	-0.011 (0.712)	0.541 (0.892)	0.137 (1.103)	-1.033* (0.610)	-1.753** (0.746)
Sexism × independent	-4.143** (2.024)	-1.613 (1.762)	1.339 (5.372)	-1.455 (2.084)	-2.206 (2.277)	0.119 (1.461)	-0.916 (1.714)	1.700 (1.397)
Sexism × Republican	0.399 (1.062)	0.228 (0.848)	0.001 (1.777)	0.592 (0.928)	-0.115 (1.115)	-0.358 (1.594)	1.466* (0.849)	1.631* (0.950)
Racial resentment	-0.958 (0.679)	-0.978* (0.520)	-3.407** (1.071)	-2.981** (0.741)	-3.010** (1.011)	-3.952** (1.350)	-0.659 (0.649)	-1.230** (0.537)
Resentment × independent	2.159 (1.432)	1.472 (1.292)	2.288 (2.819)	-0.672 (1.695)	2.643 (2.045)	6.719** (1.405)	2.664* (1.392)	0.479 (0.946)
Resentment × Republican	3.170** (1.013)	3.065** (0.784)	3.733** (1.331)	3.105** (0.912)	3.127** (1.178)	4.272** (1.530)	0.977 (0.845)	1.979** (0.770)
Respondent is an independent	-0.175 (1.127)	-1.277 (1.013)	-1.287 (1.559)	0.731 (0.911)	-1.069 (1.062)	-5.443** (1.186)	-0.806 (0.983)	-1.269* (0.669)
Respondent is a Republican	-2.553** (0.718)	-2.255** (0.734)	-0.622 (1.496)	-2.021** (0.704)	-1.518* (0.875)	-2.176** (0.977)	-1.340** (0.666)	-1.651** (0.583)
Level of conservatism	0.005 (0.097)	-0.064 (0.084)	-0.217 (0.191)	0.005 (0.096)	0.082 (0.126)	0.183 (0.133)	-0.028 (0.092)	0.099 (0.088)
Respondent is a woman	0.036 (0.200)	-0.217 (0.171)	0.171 (0.277)	-0.117 (0.174)	-0.240 (0.216)	-0.632** (0.291)	-0.216 (0.168)	-0.239 (0.185)
Interest in politics	0.885** (0.235)	0.526** (0.174)	0.535 (0.370)	0.980** (0.363)	0.584 (0.399)	0.102 (0.398)	0.443** (0.216)	0.955** (0.128)
Level of education	0.131 (0.086)	-0.062 (0.066)	0.232** (0.115)	0.101 (0.075)	0.002 (0.088)	0.210 (0.143)	0.166** (0.069)	0.256** (0.065)
Level of income	0.003	-0.020	-0.008	0.001	0.006	0.064**	0.016	0.030**

	(0.016)	(0.013)	(0.022)	(0.015)	(0.019)	(0.029)	(0.013)	(0.012)
Church attendance	0.029	-0.038	-0.024	-0.003	0.020	-0.036	0.051	0.059
	(0.062)	(0.051)	(0.091)	(0.052)	(0.060)	(0.078)	(0.050)	(0.061)
Age in years	-0.015**	-0.001	-0.006	0.022**	0.032**	0.013	0.006	0.002
	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.008)	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.004)	(0.005)
Economic perceptions	0.184	-0.135	0.461*	0.044	0.112	0.449**	0.246**	0.112
	(0.117)	(0.104)	(0.241)	(0.121)	(0.158)	(0.161)	(0.103)	(0.102)
Level of competition	-0.002	-0.018	0.036	0.018	0.050	0.052	-0.062	0.113
	(0.093)	(0.076)	(0.140)	(0.078)	(0.094)	(0.121)	(0.073)	(0.092)
Intercept	-4.728**	-1.120	-6.027**	-4.813**	-5.304**	-6.472**	-4.092**	-1.397**
	(0.956)	(0.860)	(1.476)	(1.292)	(1.608)	(1.634)	(0.889)	(0.703)
Observations	2,022	2,023	2,023	2,022	2,022	2,023	2,020	2,023
BIC	1,043.278	1,537.546	630.612	1,411.064	1,142.069	725.315	1,494.651	1,367.042

Note: Cell entries are estimated coefficients. The first two columns of results come from logistic regression models. The remaining three models were estimated using ordinary least squares regression. Standard errors are shown in parentheses. All models were estimated using probability weights.

** $p \leq 0.05$, * $p \leq 0.1$